

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/560,475	06/05/2006	Dirk Muhlhoff	3081.150US01	3180	
2613 7590 11/14/2008 PATTERSON, THUENTE, SKAAR & CHRISTENSEN, P.A. 4800 IDS CENTER 80 SOUTH 87H STREET MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-2100			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			GREECE, JAMES R		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2873		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/14/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/560 475 MUHLHOFF ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit JAMES R. GREECE 2873 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 July 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-17 and 35-52 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-17 and 35-52 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Imformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/G5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

The examiner acknowledges the election of species with traverse of species C, however the examiner believes this election is incomplete as at least claims 16-17, 39-40, and 50-52 belong to distinct species including devices/methods including 1, 2, or 3 different configurations of light bundle distribution. The election is therefore seen to be defective. As a courtesy to the applicant the examiner is providing a new restriction and election of species. This restriction requirement should clarify the requirement and allow the applicant to elect a proper invention/species.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-17, drawn to a device for determining a movement of an eye.

Group II, claim(s) 35-52, drawn to a method for determining a movement of an eye.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The method of group 2 can be accomplished utilizing a materially different device. For example the type of distance determining unit is not necessarily required to include the interferometer as described in the device of group 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,475

Art Unit: 2873

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic
invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as
to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species A: A device for determining a movement of an eye including a single bundle emitting illumination unit.

Species B: A device for determining a movement of an eye including a two bundle emitting illumination unit.

Species C: A device for determining a movement of an eye including a three bundle emitting illumination unit.

Species D: A method for determining a movement of an eye including a single bundle emitting illumination unit.

Species E: A method for determining a movement of an eye including a two bundle emitting illumination unit.

Species F: A method for determining a movement of an eye including a three bundle emitting illumination unit.

Species G: A method for determining a movement of an eye including a therapeutic beam.

Species H: A method for determining a movement of an eye including a rotating reflective surface.

Species 1: A device for determining a movement of an eye including a rotating reflective surface

Species J: A method for determining a movement of an eye including a linear movement reflective surface.

Species K: A device for determining a movement of an eye including a linear movement reflective surface

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: 1 and 35.

4. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The above cited species recite mutually exclusive subject matter including interferometer, optical coherence technology, reflector constructs, strongly dispersive focusing optics, spectrometers, and color-corrected ray bumdle forming optics to only name a few of the mutually exclusive aspects of the applicant's recited species. Each of these limitations due to their mutually exclusive subject matter would require separate searches in divergent US Classes/Subclasses therefore constituting a burdensome search.

Art Unit: 2873

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to JAMES R. GREECE whose telephone number is (571)272-3711.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ricky Mack can be reached on 571-272-2333. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. R. G./ James R Greece Examiner, Art Unit 2873

11/7/2008

/Joseph Martinez/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2873